## How to find a stationary distribution Random walks in genus 2 isogeny graphs

Enric Florit Zacarías

2022 Departmental Workshop "Computer Science Meets Mathematics"

September 7, 2022

## What is this talk about?

I want to explain a theorem about *random walks* on *graphs* formed by *abelian varieties*.

- Joint work with Ben Smith (Inria & LIX).
- Done during my Erasmus internship, right after my Bachelor's thesis in Spring 2020.
- I've got a full email record of the development of this project!

### What is this talk about?

I want to explain a theorem about *random walks* on *graphs* formed by *abelian varieties*.

- Joint work with Ben Smith (Inria & LIX).
- Done during my Erasmus internship, right after my Bachelor's thesis in Spring 2020.
- I've got a full email record of the development of this project!

An atlas of the Richelot isogeny graph [arXiv:2101.00917]

Automorphisms and Isogeny Graphs of Abelian Varieties, with Applications to the Superspecial Richelot Isogeny Graph [arXiv:2101.00919]

# Existing hard problems for cryptography

Public key cryptography is based on apparently hard problems:

- Factoring integers
- Discrete logarithms in finite groups

The usual problems for public key cryptography should be easily solved with a full-scale quantum computer.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Can we find other hard problems?

# Hard problems from graphs

#### Problem

Given a graph G, can we find length-n paths between any two nodes  $u, v \in E(G)$ ?

If this is an actual "hard" problem, then

- Nodes can be public information
- Paths between them can serve as private keys

A particular kind of graphs where path-finding is thought to be difficult are *isogeny graphs*.

Fix a prime p > 3.

An isogeny graph is a finite graph G consisting of

Elliptic curves (up to isomorphism) as nodes,

 $E(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \mid y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B\} \cup \{\infty\}.$ 

Fix a prime p > 3.

An isogeny graph is a finite graph G consisting of

Elliptic curves (up to isomorphism) as nodes,

 $E(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \mid y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B\} \cup \{\infty\}.$ 

Morphisms of elliptic curves as edges [Isogenies].
 Usually of fixed degree n (i.e. φ : E<sub>1</sub> → E<sub>2</sub> is n-to-1).
 For each E<sub>1</sub> → E<sub>2</sub>, there is a dual E<sub>2</sub> → E<sub>1</sub> of the same degree.

Fix a prime p > 3.

An isogeny graph is a finite graph G consisting of

Elliptic curves (up to isomorphism) as nodes,

 $E(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \mid y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B\} \cup \{\infty\}.$ 

Morphisms of elliptic curves as edges [Isogenies].
 Usually of fixed degree n (i.e. φ : E<sub>1</sub> → E<sub>2</sub> is n-to-1).
 For each E<sub>1</sub> → E<sub>2</sub>, there is a dual E<sub>2</sub> → E<sub>1</sub> of the same degree.

Our graphs have  $\sim \frac{p}{12}$  nodes.

Fix a prime p > 3.

An isogeny graph is a finite graph G consisting of

Elliptic curves (up to isomorphism) as nodes,

 $E(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \mid y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B\} \cup \{\infty\}.$ 

Morphisms of elliptic curves as edges [Isogenies]. Usually of fixed degree n (i.e. φ : E<sub>1</sub> → E<sub>2</sub> is n-to-1). For each E<sub>1</sub> → E<sub>2</sub>, there is a dual E<sub>2</sub> → E<sub>1</sub> of the same degree.

Our graphs have  $\sim \frac{p}{12}$  nodes.

Several proposed protocols: SIDH/SIKE, CGL, CSIDH, SQI-Sign...



We consider two kinds of abelian surfaces:

► Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves (g=2),

$$y^2 = x^5 + a_4 x^4 + a_3 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

We consider two kinds of abelian surfaces:

$$y^2 = x^5 + a_4 x^4 + a_3 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0,$$

• Products of elliptic curves  $E_1 \times E_2$ ,

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + A_1 x + B_1,$$
  
$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + A_2 x + B_2.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙

We consider two kinds of abelian surfaces:

$$y^2 = x^5 + a_4 x^4 + a_3 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0,$$

• Products of elliptic curves  $E_1 \times E_2$ ,

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + A_1 x + B_1,$$
  
$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + A_2 x + B_2.$$

With these we build finite graphs with surfaces as nodes and morphisms as edges. We can only compute isogenies of degree 4, which forms a 15-out-regular graph.

These have  $\sim rac{p^3}{2880} + O(p^2)$  nodes, of which  $\sim rac{p^2}{288}$  are products.

We consider two kinds of abelian surfaces:

$$y^2 = x^5 + a_4 x^4 + a_3 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0,$$

• Products of elliptic curves  $E_1 \times E_2$ ,

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + A_1 x + B_1,$$
  
$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + A_2 x + B_2.$$

With these we build finite graphs with surfaces as nodes and morphisms as edges. We can only compute isogenies of degree 4, which forms a 15-out-regular graph.

These have  $\sim \frac{p^3}{2880} + O(p^2)$  nodes, of which  $\sim \frac{p^2}{288}$  are products. This graph has now been used to break SIDH!

# The 4-isogeny graph for p = 47



996

э

# Finding paths

Now we have our graphs set up. How do we find length-*d* paths  $u \rightarrow v$ ? A generic meet-in-the-middle strategy:

- 1. Explore & store neighborhood of u, of depth f
- 2. Randomly do DFS from v with length d f.



Now we have our graphs set up. How do we find length-*d* paths  $u \rightarrow v$ ? A generic meet-in-the-middle strategy:

- 1. Explore & store neighborhood of u, of depth f
- 2. Randomly do DFS from v with length d f.

Complexity of doing this is  $O(p^{1/2})$  in the elliptic curve graph, and  $O(p^{3/2})$  in the abelian surface graph.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Finding paths with a distinguished subgraph

Suppose we want to find paths  $u \rightarrow v$  in a graph *G*.

If G has a connected subgraph H where path-finding is easier, we can:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

## Finding paths with a distinguished subgraph

Suppose we want to find paths  $u \rightarrow v$  in a graph *G*.

If G has a connected subgraph H where path-finding is easier, we can:

- Find a path  $u \rightarrow u' \in H$ ,
- Find a path  $v \rightarrow v' \in H$ ,
- Find a path  $u' \rightarrow v'$  in H.

## Finding paths with a distinguished subgraph

Suppose we want to find paths  $u \rightarrow v$  in a graph *G*.

If G has a connected subgraph H where path-finding is easier, we can:

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- Find a path  $u \rightarrow u' \in H$ ,
- Find a path  $v \rightarrow v' \in H$ ,
- Find a path  $u' \rightarrow v'$  in H.

For the abelian surface graph (Costello - Smith, 2019):

- Use subgraph of products of elliptic curves.
- Speedup from  $O(p^{3/2})$  to O(p).

## Random walks

For this strategy to work, we need to get *on average* short paths for u to H.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- ▶ If *H* is too small, it will be hard to reach;
- ▶ If *H* is too large, we will get little improvement.

### Random walks

For this strategy to work, we need to get *on average* short paths for u to H.

- ▶ If *H* is too small, it will be hard to reach;
- ▶ If *H* is too large, we will get little improvement.

To study how good our subgraph is, we use the random walk model:

Start with a node  $u_0 \in G$ 

For each  $n \ge 0$ , choose a neighbor  $u_{n+1}$  of  $u_n$  with probability  $\frac{1}{\deg u_n}$ .

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

### Random walks

For this strategy to work, we need to get *on average* short paths for u to H.

- ▶ If *H* is too small, it will be hard to reach;
- ▶ If *H* is too large, we will get little improvement.

To study how good our subgraph is, we use the random walk model:

Start with a node  $u_0 \in G$ 

For each  $n \ge 0$ , choose a neighbor  $u_{n+1}$  of  $u_n$  with probability  $\frac{1}{\deg u_n}$ .

If G is a regular graph, we should go into H with probability  $\frac{\#H}{\#G}$  at each step  $\rightsquigarrow$  geometric distribution.

# Stationary distribution

The random walk forms a *Markov chain*. We can show:

#### Theorem

For our isogeny graph of abelian surfaces, the random walk converges to a distribution on the nodes  $\pi$ .

This distribution  $\pi$  is the eigenvector (of eigenvalue 1) corresponding to the stochastic adjacency matrix M.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M^n \varphi_0 = \pi$$
$$M\pi = M.$$

## An experiment



While lockdown:

- 1. Start with a random abelian surface.
- 2. Do a random walk until we hit a product of elliptic curves.
- 3. Write down the number of steps.
- 4. Repeat.

We expected to hit  $E \times E'$  with probability  $\frac{10}{p}$ .

# Subject: puzzling numbers (March 26)

However, the actual distribution has parameter between 1.5/p and 2/p, which is about 5 to 6 times lower than predicted. This is consistent across primes up to 1000 (although I don't have much more than 20 or 30 walks recorded for primes > 200).

```
plt.plot(actual_primes, [2/p for p in actual_primes], 'r--')
plt.plot(actual_primes, geometric_parameters, 'x')
plt.show()
```



# Adjacency matrices

- Computing these "times until elliptic curve products" is expensive and wasteful.
- Hence, we switched to computing adjacency matrices.
- We computed the 4-isogeny graph for each prime p up to ~ 600. This took three or four weeks.
- For comparison, we can compute elliptic product graphs up to p ~ 30000 in an afternoon.

# Subject: Jacobians (April 1)

Soon we noticed something: the adjacency matrix of our graphs are not symmetric.

I've checked the proportion of "defective" edges in our graphs, and I've noticed two things:

 This proportion seems to go down with p (at p=607, only 5% of the edges have some disagreement on the number of isogenies going each way),
 Most (almost all) defective edges are between jacobians.

So, we'll have to take a look at jacobians, automorphisms and numbers of isogenies. It looks like some work has been done very recently: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.00633</u> ... On the other hand, knowing something about these (numbers of) defective edges could be extremely helpful in knowing the stationary distribution of random walks in J\_p (I have some ideas on how to work this out) and the geometric parameter I've talking about.

The paper is "Counting Richelot isogenies between superspecial abelian surfaces" by Katsura and Takashima.

# Neighborhoods of surfaces in the 4-isogeny graph

Generically, a surface has the following neighborhood:



## Automorphism groups of surfaces

... however, surfaces can have automorphism groups. We can describe  ${\rm Aut}(\mathcal{A})/\langle\pm1\rangle$  :



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

These were computed in the 1880s.

## Automorphism groups of products

We also had to compute automorphism groups of  $E \times E'$ , using GAP:



・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Automorphism groups of products

We also had to compute automorphism groups of  $E \times E'$ , using GAP:



For example, if Aut(E) =  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  with  $\alpha^d = 1$ , then

$$\mathsf{Aut}\,(\mathsf{E}\times\mathsf{E})\cong\Big\langle \mathsf{a},\mathsf{b},\tau\mid\mathsf{a}^{\mathsf{d}}=\mathsf{b}^{\mathsf{d}}=\tau^{2}=1,\mathsf{a}\mathsf{b}=\mathsf{b}\mathsf{a},\mathsf{a}\tau=\tau\mathsf{b}\Big\rangle$$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

and GAP can identify  $Aut(E \times E) / \pm 1$ .

# Neighborhoods and automorphisms



urrherher e 990

# Neighborhoods and automorphisms



## Remarks on arrow multiplicities (May 9)

1) Every isogeny from a type-I curve to one with RA=0 must have maximal multiplicity,

2) An isogeny ZZ/2ZZ -> S3 has to be a double isogeny

3) If we have an n-fold (n=1 or 2) isogeny  $ZZ/2ZZ \rightarrow (ZZ/2ZZ)^2$ , then there have to be 2n isogenies coming back

4) An isogeny  $(ZZ/2ZZ)^2 \rightarrow S3$  has to be a double isogeny.

We already know (1) is true. In the cases  $p \models 1$ , 19 mod 24 (i.e., when the curves D12 and S4 appear) similar conditions on the number of edges could be imposed, but I suspect they are easy to check given the uniqueness of such curves.

We extract a result from observing neighborhoods:

Lemma

$$\#\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) \cdot \# \{ \mathcal{A}' \to \mathcal{A} \} = \#\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A}') \cdot \# \{ \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}' \}.$$

## Theorem (F.-Smith)

The stationary distribution of the random walk on  $\Gamma_g(\ell; p)$  is given, after normalization, by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{A}} \sim rac{1}{\#\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})}$$

Moreover, convergence to this distribution is given by

$$\left| \mathsf{Prob}[\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}] - \frac{C}{\#\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})} \right| \leq \lambda_{\star}^n \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\#\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_0)}{\#\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})}}$$

where  $\lambda_{\star}$  is the **second largest eigenvalue** of the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma_g(\ell; p)$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is the starting node in the walk.

### Future work

Two questions remain:

- What is the expected distance to a product of elliptic curves?
- We have to look at "the chain of random walks that survive forever", also known as a *Killed process*.

### Future work

Two questions remain:

- What is the expected distance to a product of elliptic curves?
- We have to look at "the chain of random walks that survive forever", also known as a *Killed process*.
- What is the mixing rate of the random walk? I.e., can we compute a sharp bound on the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrices?
- We would need to propose and prove conjectures for Siegel modular forms with level "of middle parahoric type" (cf. work of Ibukiyama).

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

## How to find a stationary distribution Random walks in genus 2 isogeny graphs

Enric Florit Zacarías

2022 Departmental Workshop "Computer Science Meets Mathematics"

September 7, 2022